

**CRITERIA
and
STANDARDS
REVIEW**

Provide methodology and assumptions used to estimate ADA, enrollment, revenues, expenditures, reserves and fund balance, and multiyear commitments (including cost-of-living adjustments).

Deviations from the standards must be explained and may affect the approval of the budget.

CRITERIA AND STANDARDS

1. CRITERION: Average Daily Attendance

STANDARD: Funded average daily attendance (ADA) has not been overestimated in 1) the first prior fiscal year OR in 2) two or more of the previous three fiscal years by more than the following percentage levels:

Percentage Level	District ADA		
3.0%	0	to	300
2.0%	301	to	1,000
1.0%	1,001	and	over

District ADA (Form A, Estimated P-2 ADA column, lines A6 and C9):

District's ADA Standard Percentage Level:

1A. Calculating the District's ADA Variances

DATA ENTRY: For the Second and Third Prior Years, enter data in the Revenue Limit Funded ADA, Original Budget column. For the First Prior Year, enter the data in the Estimated Funded ADA, Original Budget column. All other data are extracted.

Fiscal Year	Revenue Limit (Funded) ADA/Estimated Funded ADA Original Budget (Form RL, Line 5c) (Form A, Lines A6 and C4) (Form A, Lines A6 and C9)	Estimated/Unaudited Actuals (Form RL, Line 5c) (Form A, Lines A6 and C4) (Form A, Lines A6 and C9)	ADA Variance Level (If Budget is greater than Actuals, else N/A)	Status
Third Prior Year (2012-13)	2,125.98	2,125.98	0.0%	Met
Second Prior Year (2013-14)	2,143.87	2,143.87	0.0%	Met
First Prior Year (2014-15)	2,189.58	2,189.58	0.0%	Met
Budget Year (2015-16)	2,189.58			

1B. Comparison of District ADA to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

- 1a. STANDARD MET - Funded ADA has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for the first prior year.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

- 1b. STANDARD MET - Funded ADA has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for two or more of the previous three years.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

2. CRITERION: Enrollment

STANDARD: Projected enrollment has not been overestimated in 1) the first prior fiscal year OR in 2) two or more of the previous three fiscal years by more than the following percentage levels:

Percentage Level	District ADA		
3.0%	0	to	300
2.0%	301	to	1,000
1.0%	1,001	and	over

District ADA (Form A, Estimated P-2 ADA column, lines A6 and C9):

District's Enrollment Standard Percentage Level:

2A. Calculating the District's Enrollment Variances

DATA ENTRY: Enter data in the Enrollment, Budget, column for all fiscal years and in the Enrollment, CBEDS Actual, column for the First Prior Year; all other data are extracted or calculated.

Fiscal Year	Budget	Enrollment CBEDS Actual	Enrollment Variance Level (If Budget is greater than Actual, else N/A)	Status
Third Prior Year (2012-13)	2,163	2,163	0.0%	Met
Second Prior Year (2013-14)	2,215	2,215	0.0%	Met
First Prior Year (2014-15)	2,254	2,254	0.0%	Met
Budget Year (2015-16)	2,240			

2B. Comparison of District Enrollment to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

1a. STANDARD MET - Enrollment has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for the first prior year.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

1b. STANDARD MET - Enrollment has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for two or more of the previous three years.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

3. CRITERION: ADA to Enrollment

STANDARD: Projected second period (P-2) average daily attendance (ADA) to enrollment ratio for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years has not increased from the historical average ratio from the three prior fiscal years by more than one half of one percent (0.5%).

3A. Calculating the District's ADA to Enrollment Standard

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.

P-2 ADA			
Estimated/Unaudited Actuals (Form A, Lines 3, 6, and 26) (Form A, Lines A6 and C4)			
Fiscal Year		Enrollment CBEDS Actual (Criterion 2, Item 2A)	Historical Ratio of ADA to Enrollment
Third Prior Year (2012-13)	2,094	2,163	96.8%
Second Prior Year (2013-14)	2,144	2,215	96.8%
First Prior Year (2014-15)	2,190	2,254	97.2%
Historical Average Ratio:			96.9%
District's ADA to Enrollment Standard (historical average ratio plus 0.5%):			97.4%

3B. Calculating the District's Projected Ratio of ADA to Enrollment

DATA ENTRY: Enter Estimated P-2 ADA data in the first column for the two subsequent years. Enter data in the Enrollment column for the two subsequent years. All other data are extracted or calculated.

Fiscal Year	Estimated P-2 ADA Budget (Form A, Lines A6 and C9)	Enrollment Budget/Projected (Criterion 2, Item 2A)	Ratio of ADA to Enrollment	Status
Budget Year (2015-16)	2,178	2,240	97.2%	Met
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2,155	2,218	97.2%	Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	2,140	2,203	97.1%	Met

3C. Comparison of District ADA to Enrollment Ratio to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

1a. STANDARD MET - Projected P-2 ADA to enrollment ratio has not exceeded the standard for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

4. CRITERION: LCFF Revenue

STANDARD: Projected local control funding formula (LCFF) revenue for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years has not changed from the prior fiscal year by more than the change in population, plus the district's gap funding or cost-of-living adjustment (COLA)¹ and its economic recovery target payment, plus or minus one percent.

For basic aid districts, projected LCFF revenue has not changed from the prior fiscal year by more than the percent change in property tax revenues plus or minus one percent.

For districts funded by necessary small school formulas, projected LCFF revenue has not changed from the prior fiscal year amount by more than the district's gap funding or COLA¹ and its economic recovery target payment, plus or minus one percent.

¹Districts that are already at or above their LCFF target funding as described in Education Code Section 42238.03(d) receive no gap funding. These districts have a COLA applied to their LCFF target, but their year-over-year revenue increase might be less than the statutory COLA due to certain local factors and components of the funding formula.

4A. District's LCFF Revenue Standard

Indicate which standard applies:

LCFF Revenue

Basic Aid

Necessary Small School

The District must select which LCFF revenue standard applies.

LCFF Revenue Standard selected: LCFF Revenue

4A1. Calculating the District's LCFF Revenue Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter LCFF Target amounts for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years.
Enter data in Step 1a for the two subsequent fiscal years. All other data is extracted or calculated.
Enter data for Steps 2a through 2d. All other data is calculated.

Projected LCFF Revenue

Has the District reached its LCFF target funding level?

No

If Yes, then COLA amount in Line 2b2 is used in Line 2e Total calculation.
If No, then Gap Funding in Line 2c is used in Line 2e Total calculation.

		Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
LCFF Target (Reference Only)		22,654,660.00	22,896,488.00	23,201,195.00

	Prior Year (2014-15)	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Step 1 - Change in Population				
a. ADA (Funded) (Form A, lines A6 and C4)	2,189.58	2,189.58	2,175.96	2,154.74
b. Prior Year ADA (Funded)		2,189.58	2,189.58	2,175.96
c. Difference (Step 1a minus Step 1b)		0.00	(13.62)	(21.22)
d. Percent Change Due to Population (Step 1c divided by Step 1b)		0.00%	-0.62%	-0.98%

Step 2 - Change in Funding Level				
a. Prior Year LCFF Funding		20,137,937.00	21,135,777.00	21,777,553.00
b1. COLA percentage (if district is at target)	Not Applicable			
b2. COLA amount (proxy for purposes of this criterion)	Not Applicable	0.00	0.00	0.00
c. Gap Funding (if district is not at target)				
d. Economic Recovery Target Funding (current year increment)				
e. Total (Lines 2b2 or 2c, as applicable, plus Line 2d)		0.00	0.00	0.00
f. Percent Change Due to Funding Level (Step 2e divided by Step 2a)		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Step 3 - Total Change in Population and Funding Level (Step 1d plus Step 2f)		0.00%	-0.62%	-0.98%
LCFF Revenue Standard (Step 3, plus/minus 1%):		-1.00% to 1.00%	-1.62% to .38%	-1.98% to .02%

4A2. Alternate LCFF Revenue Standard - Basic Aid

DATA ENTRY: If applicable to your district, input data in the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year columns for projected local property taxes; all other data are extracted or calculated.

Basic Aid District Projected LCFF Revenue

	Prior Year (2014-15)	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Projected Local Property Taxes (Form 01, Objects 8021 - 8089)	4,450,467.99	4,332,565.00	4,375,891.00	4,419,650.00
Percent Change from Previous Year		N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic Aid Standard (percent change from previous year, plus/minus 1%):		N/A	N/A	N/A

4A3. Alternate LCFF Revenue Standard - Necessary Small School

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.

Necessary Small School District Projected LCFF Revenue

	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Necessary Small School Standard (Gap Funding or COLA, plus Economic Recovery Target Payment, Step 2f, plus/minus 1%):	N/A	N/A	N/A

4B. Calculating the District's Projected Change in LCFF Revenue

DATA ENTRY: Enter data in the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year columns for LCFF Revenue; all other data are extracted or calculated.

	Prior Year (2014-15)	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
LCFF Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8011, 8012, 8020-8089)	17,166,029.99	20,227,365.00	21,135,777.00	21,777,553.00
District's Projected Change in LCFF Revenue:		17.83%	4.49%	3.04%
LCFF Revenue Standard:		-1.00% to 1.00%	-1.62% to .38%	-1.98% to .02%
Status:		Not Met	Not Met	Not Met

4C. Comparison of District LCFF Revenue to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

- 1a. STANDARD NOT MET - Projected change in LCFF revenue is outside the standard in one or more of the budget or two subsequent fiscal years. Provide reasons why the projection(s) exceed the standard(s) and a description of the methods and assumptions used in projecting LCFF revenue.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

Increase in LCFF funding due to state of the economy which increased the gap funding substantially. All school districts in the State are being funded 53% of the gap we are almost to target.

5. CRITERION: Salaries and Benefits

STANDARD: Projected ratio of total unrestricted salaries and benefits to total unrestricted general fund expenditures for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years has not changed from the historical average ratio from the three prior fiscal years by more than the greater of three percent or the district's required reserves percentage.

It is likely that for many districts the 2014-15 and 2015-16 change from the historical average ratio will exceed the standard because certain revenues that were restricted prior to the LCFF are now unrestricted within the LCFF.

5A. Calculating the District's Historical Average Ratio of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits to Total Unrestricted General Fund Expenditures

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.

Estimated/Unaudited Actuals - Unrestricted (Resources 0000-1999)			
	Salaries and Benefits (Form 01, Objects 1000-3999)	Total Expenditures (Form 01, Objects 1000-7499)	Ratio of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits to Total Unrestricted Expenditures
Fiscal Year			
Third Prior Year (2012-13)	11,707,445.32	13,377,587.07	87.5%
Second Prior Year (2013-14)	11,569,763.85	13,315,767.25	86.9%
First Prior Year (2014-15)	12,417,671.11	15,548,548.62	79.9%
	Historical Average Ratio:		84.8%
	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
District's Reserve Standard Percentage (Criterion 10B, Line 4):	3.0%	5.0%	5.0%
District's Salaries and Benefits Standard (historical average ratio, plus/minus the greater of 3% or the district's reserve standard percentage):	81.8% to 87.8%	79.8% to 89.8%	79.8% to 89.8%

5B. Calculating the District's Projected Ratio of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits to Total Unrestricted General Fund Expenditures

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits, and Total Unrestricted Expenditures data for the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Years will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years. All other data are extracted or calculated.

Fiscal Year	Budget - Unrestricted (Resources 0000-1999)		Ratio of Unrestricted Salaries and Benefits to Total Unrestricted Expenditures	Status
	Salaries and Benefits (Form 01, Objects 1000-3999) (Form MYP, Lines B1-B3)	Total Expenditures (Form 01, Objects 1000-7499) (Form MYP, Lines B1-B8, B10)		
Budget Year (2015-16)	15,563,532.89	18,684,556.06	83.3%	Met
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	15,891,202.71	19,210,562.25	82.7%	Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	16,232,541.48	19,728,021.13	82.3%	Met

5C. Comparison of District Salaries and Benefits Ratio to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

- 1a. STANDARD MET - Ratio of total unrestricted salaries and benefits to total unrestricted expenditures has met the standard for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

6. CRITERION: Other Revenues and Expenditures

STANDARD: Projected operating revenues (including federal, other state, and other local) or expenditures (including books and supplies, and services and other operating), for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years, have not changed from the prior fiscal year amount by more than the percentage change in population and the funded cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) plus or minus ten percent.

For each major object category, changes that exceed the percentage change in population and the funded COLA plus or minus five percent must be explained.

6A. Calculating the District's Other Revenues and Expenditures Standard Percentage Ranges

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.

	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
1. District's Change in Population and Funding Level (Criterion 4A1, Step 3):	0.00%	-0.62%	-0.98%
2. District's Other Revenues and Expenditures Standard Percentage Range (Line 1, plus/minus 10%):	-10.00% to 10.00%	-10.62% to 9.38%	-10.98% to 9.02%
3. District's Other Revenues and Expenditures Explanation Percentage Range (Line 1, plus/minus 5%):	-5.00% to 5.00%	-5.62% to 4.38%	-5.98% to 4.02%

6B. Calculating the District's Change by Major Object Category and Comparison to the Explanation Percentage Range (Section 6A, Line 3)

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year data for each revenue and expenditure section will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years. All other data are extracted or calculated.

Explanations must be entered for each category if the percent change for any year exceeds the district's explanation percentage range.

Object Range / Fiscal Year	Amount	Percent Change Over Previous Year	Change Is Outside Explanation Range
Federal Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8100-8299) (Form MYP, Line A2)			
First Prior Year (2014-15)	992,989.71		
Budget Year (2015-16)	989,038.00	-0.40%	No
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	988,538.00	-0.05%	No
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	988,038.00	-0.05%	No

Explanation:
(required if Yes)

Budgeting all federal funding at flat rate.

Other State Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8300-8599) (Form MYP, Line A3)			
First Prior Year (2014-15)	652,415.53		
Budget Year (2015-16)	464,976.00	-28.73%	Yes
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	470,393.00	1.17%	No
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	465,828.00	-0.97%	No

Explanation:
(required if Yes)

State revenue was reduced by \$141,613 due to one time mandated costs received in 14-15. This will increase in the revised budget by nearly 1.3 million when the district receives confirmation of exactly what the governor plans on sending to schools for another one time mandated costs reimbursement. Other state reductions is for ROP.

Other Local Revenue (Fund 01, Objects 8600-8799) (Form MYP, Line A4)			
First Prior Year (2014-15)	961,448.95		
Budget Year (2015-16)	997,729.00	1.66%	No
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	906,853.00	-9.11%	Yes
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	910,853.00	0.44%	No

Explanation:
(required if Yes)

Local revenue was reduced by \$18,343 due to GCOE agreement for rents on the special education building sunset. GCOE will remain in the building for 7 more years.

Books and Supplies (Fund 01, Objects 4000-4999) (Form MYP, Line B4)			
First Prior Year (2014-15)	976,915.04		
Budget Year (2015-16)	1,205,806.00	23.43%	Yes
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	1,123,498.90	-6.83%	Yes
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	1,151,361.67	2.48%	No

Explanation:
(required if Yes)

The district will be upgrading technology and adopting curriculum (math) in the next two years. All subsequent years will have higher normal range than in the past due to the replenish and rotate plan for both technology and curriculum.

Services and Other Operating Expenditures (Fund 01, Objects 5000-5999) (Form MYP, Line B5)

First Prior Year (2014-15)	2,545,690.58		
Budget Year (2015-16)	2,069,845.88	-18.69%	Yes
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2,099,261.60	1.42%	No
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	2,151,364.28	2.48%	No

Explanation:
(required if Yes)

The district had several large one time expenditures that are not anticipated in the future. The district paid out one time funds for a settlement of \$153,647, unusually high expenditure for 3 tax anticipation notes at the cost of \$170,869 as well as multiple consultants to remedy the districts distress.

6C. Calculating the District's Change in Total Operating Revenues and Expenditures (Section 6A, Line 2)

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.

Object Range / Fiscal Year	Amount	Percent Change Over Previous Year	Status
Total Federal, Other State, and Other Local Revenue (Criterion 6B)			
First Prior Year (2014-15)	2,626,854.19		
Budget Year (2015-16)	2,451,743.00	-6.67%	Met
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2,365,784.00	-3.51%	Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	2,364,719.00	-0.05%	Met
Total Books and Supplies, and Services and Other Operating Expenditures (Criterion 6B)			
First Prior Year (2014-15)	3,522,605.62		
Budget Year (2015-16)	3,275,651.88	-7.01%	Met
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	3,222,760.50	-1.61%	Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	3,302,725.95	2.48%	Met

6D. Comparison of District Total Operating Revenues and Expenditures to the Standard Percentage Range

DATA ENTRY: Explanations are linked from Section 6B if the status in Section 6C is not met; no entry is allowed below.

- 1a. STANDARD MET - Projected total operating revenues have not changed by more than the standard for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years.

Explanation:
Federal Revenue
(linked from 6B
if NOT met)

Explanation:
Other State Revenue
(linked from 6B
if NOT met)

Explanation:
Other Local Revenue
(linked from 6B
if NOT met)

- 1b. STANDARD MET - Projected total operating expenditures have not changed by more than the standard for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years.

Explanation:
Books and Supplies
(linked from 6B
if NOT met)

Explanation:
Services and Other Exps
(linked from 6B
if NOT met)

7. CRITERION: Facilities Maintenance

STANDARD: Confirm that the annual contribution for facilities maintenance funding is not less than the amount required pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.75, if applicable, and that the district is providing adequately to preserve the functionality of its facilities for their normal life in accordance with Education Code sections 52060(d)(1) and 17002(d)(1).

Determining the District's Compliance with the Contribution Requirement for EC Section 17070.75 - Ongoing and Major Maintenance/Restricted Maintenance Account (OMMA/RMA)

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button for special education local plan area (SELPA) administrative units (AUs); all other data are extracted or calculated. If standard is not met, enter an X in the appropriate box and enter an explanation, if applicable.

1. a. For districts that are the AU of a SELPA, do you choose to exclude revenue that are passed through to participating members of the SELPA from the OMMA/RMA required minimum contribution calculation? Yes
- b. Pass-through revenues and apportionments that may be excluded from the OMMA/RMA calculation per EC Section 17070.75(b)(2)(C) (Fund 10, objects 7211-7213 and 7221-7223 with resources 3300-3499 and 6500-6540) 0.00

2. Ongoing and Major Maintenance/Restricted Maintenance Account

a. Budgeted Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Form 01, objects 1000-7999)	22,469,268.29			
b. Plus: Pass-through Revenues and Apportionments (Line 1b, if line 1a is No)		3% Required Minimum Contribution (Line 2c times 3%)	Budgeted Contribution ¹ to the Ongoing and Major Maintenance Account	Status
c. Net Budgeted Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	22,469,268.29	674,078.05	673,240.00	Not Met

¹ Fund 01, Resource 8150, Objects 8900-8999

If standard is not met, enter an X in the box that best describes why the minimum required contribution was not made:

- ☐ Not applicable (district does not participate in the Leroy F. Green School Facilities Act of 1998)
- ☐ Exempt (due to district's small size [EC Section 17070.75 (b)(2)(D)])
- ☐ Other (explanation must be provided)

Explanation:
(required if NOT met
and Other is marked)

The district will increase the RMA by \$838.05 to meet the 3% in the revised budget. The budget reflects the original 3% projected in the prior week and did not update the expenditures for RMA.

8. CRITERION: Deficit Spending

STANDARD: Unrestricted deficit spending (total unrestricted expenditures and other financing uses is greater than total unrestricted revenues and other financing sources) as a percentage of total unrestricted expenditures and other financing uses, has not exceeded one-third of the district's available reserves¹ as a percentage of total expenditures and other financing uses² in two out of three prior fiscal years.

8A. Calculating the District's Deficit Spending Standard Percentage Levels

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.

	Third Prior Year (2012-13)	Second Prior Year (2013-14)	First Prior Year (2014-15)
1. District's Available Reserve Amounts (resources 0000-1999)			
a. Reserve for Economic Uncertainties (Funds 01 and 17, Object 9789)	656,735.93	160,964.65	582,999.70
b. Unassigned/Unappropriated (Funds 01 and 17, Object 9790)	0.00	0.00	31,283.16
c. Negative General Fund Ending Balances in Restricted Resources (Fund 01, Object 979Z, if negative, for each of resources 2000-9999)	(0.30)	0.00	(0.30)
d. Available Reserves (Lines 1a through 1c)	656,735.63	160,964.65	614,282.56
2. Expenditures and Other Financing Uses			
a. District's Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Fund 01, objects 1000-7999)	17,862,246.47	17,136,831.65	19,433,323.18
b. Plus: Special Education Pass-through Funds (Fund 10, resources 3300-3499 and 6500-6540, objects 7211-7213 and 7221-7223)			0.00
c. Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Line 2a plus Line 2b)	17,862,246.47	17,136,831.65	19,433,323.18
3. District's Available Reserve Percentage (Line 1d divided by Line 2c)	3.7%	0.9%	3.2%
District's Deficit Spending Standard Percentage Levels (Line 3 times 1/3):	1.2%	0.3%	1.1%

¹Available reserves are the unrestricted amounts in the Reserve for Economic Uncertainties and the Unassigned/Unappropriated accounts in the General Fund and the Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects. Available reserves will be reduced by any negative ending balances in restricted resources in the General Fund.

²A school district that is the Administrative Unit of a Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) may exclude from its expenditures the distribution of funds to its participating members.

8B. Calculating the District's Deficit Spending Percentages

DATA ENTRY: All data are extracted or calculated.

Fiscal Year	Net Change in Unrestricted Fund Balance (Form 01, Section E)	Total Unrestricted Expenditures and Other Financing Uses (Form 01, Objects 1000-7999)	Deficit Spending Level (If Net Change in Unrestricted Fund Balance is negative, else N/A)	Status
Third Prior Year (2012-13)	(821,407.93)	13,654,391.07	6.0%	Not Met
Second Prior Year (2013-14)	254,526.55	13,331,290.25	N/A	Met
First Prior Year (2014-15)	519,782.20	15,548,548.82	N/A	Met
Budget Year (2015-16) (Information only)	40,959.44	18,684,556.06		

8C. Comparison of District Deficit Spending to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

1a. STANDARD MET - Unrestricted deficit spending, if any, has not exceeded the standard percentage level in two or more of the three prior years.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

In 2012-2.13 the district was in a fiscal crisis and did not reduce expenditures in time to offset the problem.

9. CRITERION: Fund Balance

STANDARD: Budgeted beginning unrestricted general fund balance has not been overestimated for two out of three prior fiscal years by more than the following percentage levels:

Percentage Level ¹	District ADA	
1.7%	0	to 300
1.3%	301	to 1,000
1.0%	1,001	to 30,000
0.7%	30,001	to 400,000
0.3%	400,001	and over

¹ Percentage levels equate to a rate of deficit spending which would eliminate recommended reserves for economic uncertainties over a three year period.

District Estimated P-2 ADA (Form A, Lines A6 and C4):

District's Fund Balance Standard Percentage Level:

9A. Calculating the District's Unrestricted General Fund Beginning Balance Percentages

DATA ENTRY: Enter data in the Original Budget column for the First, Second, and Third Prior Years; all other data are extracted or calculated.

Fiscal Year	Unrestricted General Fund Beginning Balance ² (Form 01, Line F1e, Unrestricted Column)		Beginning Fund Balance Variance Level (If overestimated, else N/A)	Status
	Original Budget	Estimated/Unaudited Actuals		
Third Prior Year (2012-13)	1,129,781.47	1,483,740.76	N/A	Met
Second Prior Year (2013-14)	793,801.16	(41,918.30)	105.3%	Not Met
First Prior Year (2014-15)	(158,044.28)	149,486.78	N/A	Met
Budget Year (2015-16) (Information only)	669,268.98			

² Adjusted beginning balance, including audit adjustments and other restatements (objects 9791-9795)

9B. Comparison of District Unrestricted Beginning Fund Balance to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

- 1a. STANDARD MET - Unrestricted general fund beginning fund balance has not been overestimated by more than the standard percentage level for two or more of the previous three years.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

The district had been calculating previous years billing of MAA revenue as an accounts receivable in error.. The beginning balance was restated to reconcile the problem.

10. CRITERION: Reserves

STANDARD: Available reserves¹ for any of the budget year or two subsequent fiscal years are not less than the following percentages or amounts² as applied to total expenditures and other financing uses³:

DATA ENTRY: Budget Year data are extracted. Enter district and charter school ADA corresponding to financial data reported in the General Fund, only, for the two subsequent years.

Percentage Level	District ADA	
5% or \$65,000 (greater of)	0	to 300
4% or \$65,000 (greater of)	301	to 1,000
3%	1,001	to 30,000
2%	30,001	to 400,000
1%	400,001	and over

¹ Available reserves are the unrestricted amounts in the Reserve for Economic Uncertainties and the Unassigned/Unappropriated accounts in the General Fund and the Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects. Available reserves will be reduced by any negative ending balances in restricted resources in the General Fund.

² Dollar amounts to be adjusted annually by the prior year statutory cost-of-living adjustment (Education Code Section 42238), rounded to the nearest thousand.

³ A school district that is the Administrative Unit (AU) of a Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) may exclude from its expenditures the distribution of funds to its participating members.

	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
District Estimated P-2 ADA (Form A, Lines A6 and C4):	2,178		
District's Reserve Standard Percentage Level:	3%	5%	5%

10A. Calculating the District's Special Education Pass-through Exclusions (only for districts that serve as the AU of a SELPA)

DATA ENTRY: For SELPA AUs, if Form MYP exists, all data will be extracted including the Yes/No button selection. If not, click the appropriate Yes or No button for item 1 and, if Yes, enter data for item 2a and for the two subsequent years in item 2b; Budget Year data are extracted.

For districts that serve as the AU of a SELPA (Form MYP, Lines F1a, F1b1, and F1b2):

1. Do you choose to exclude from the reserve calculation the pass-through funds distributed to SELPA members?
2. If you are the SELPA AU and are excluding special education pass-through funds:
 - a. Enter the name(s) of the SELPA(s):

- b. Special Education Pass-through Funds
(Fund 10, resources 3300-3499 and 6500-6540, objects 7211-7213 and 7221-7223)

	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
	0.00	0.00	0.00

10B. Calculating the District's Reserve Standard

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year data for lines 1 and 2 will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years. All other data are extracted or calculated.

1. Expenditures and Other Financing Uses
(Fund 01, objects 1000-7999) (Form MYP, Line B11)
2. Plus: Special Education Pass-through
(Criterion 10A, Line 2b, if Criterion 10A, Line 1 is No)
3. Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses
(Line B1 plus Line B2)
4. Reserve Standard Percentage Level
5. Reserve Standard - by Percent
(Line B3 times Line B4)
6. Reserve Standard - by Amount
(\$65,000 for districts with 0 to 1,000 ADA, else 0)
7. District's Reserve Standard
(Greater of Line B5 or Line B6)

	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
1.	22,469,268.29	23,480,547.88	24,099,313.55
2.			
3.	22,469,268.29	23,480,547.88	24,099,313.55
4.	3%	5%	5%
5.	674,078.05	1,174,027.39	1,204,965.68
6.	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.	674,078.05	1,174,027.39	1,204,965.68

10C. Calculating the District's Budgeted Reserve Amount

DATA ENTRY: If Form MYP exists, 1st and 2nd Subsequent Year data for lines 1 through 7 will be extracted; if not, enter data for the two subsequent years.
All other data are extracted or calculated.

Reserve Amounts

(Unrestricted resources 0000-1999 except Line 4):

1. General Fund - Stabilization Arrangements
(Fund 01, Object 9750) (Form MYP, Line E1a)
2. General Fund - Reserve for Economic Uncertainties
(Fund 01, Object 9789) (Form MYP, Line E1b)
3. General Fund - Unassigned/Unappropriated Amount
(Fund 01, Object 9790) (Form MYP, Line E1c)
4. General Fund - Negative Ending Balances in Restricted Resources
(Fund 01, Object 979Z, if negative, for each of resources 2000-9999)
(Form MYP, Line E1d)
5. Special Reserve Fund - Stabilization Arrangements
(Fund 17, Object 9750) (Form MYP, Line E2a)
6. Special Reserve Fund - Reserve for Economic Uncertainties
(Fund 17, Object 9789) (Form MYP, Line E2b)
7. Special Reserve Fund - Unassigned/Unappropriated Amount
(Fund 17, Object 9790) (Form MYP, Line E2c)
8. District's Budgeted Reserve Amount
(Lines C1 thru C7)
9. District's Budgeted Reserve Percentage (Information only)
(Line 8 divided by Section 10B, Line 3)

District's Reserve Standard (Section 10B, Line 7):

Status:

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
0.00	0.00	0.00
674,167.05	704,416.44	722,979.41
0.00	0.00	0.00
(0.30)	0.00	0.00
0.00		
0.00		
0.00		
674,166.75	704,416.44	722,979.41
3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
674,078.05	1,174,027.39	1,204,965.68
Met	Not Met	Not Met

10D. Comparison of District Reserve Amount to the Standard

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if the standard is not met.

- 1a. STANDARD NOT MET - Projected available reserves are below the standard in one or more of the budget or two subsequent fiscal years. Provide reasons for reserves falling below the standard and what plans and actions are anticipated to be taken to increase reserves to, or above, the standard.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

The standard reserve level for a district our size is 3%. The district is not aware of the 5% in the out years as previously stated.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button for items S1 through S4. Enter an explanation for each Yes answer.

S1. Contingent Liabilities

- 1a. Does your district have any known or contingent liabilities (e.g., financial or program audits, litigation, state compliance reviews) that may impact the budget?

No

- 1b. If Yes, identify the liabilities and how they may impact the budget:

S2. Use of One-time Revenues for Ongoing Expenditures

- 1a. Does your district have ongoing general fund expenditures in the budget in excess of one percent of the total general fund expenditures that are funded with one-time resources?

No

- 1b. If Yes, identify the expenditures and explain how the one-time resources will be replaced to continue funding the ongoing expenditures in the following fiscal years:

S3. Use of Ongoing Revenues for One-time Expenditures

- 1a. Does your district have large non-recurring general fund expenditures that are funded with ongoing general fund revenues?

No

- 1b. If Yes, identify the expenditures:

S4. Contingent Revenues

- 1a. Does your district have projected revenues for the budget year or either of the two subsequent fiscal years contingent on reauthorization by the local government, special legislation, or other definitive act (e.g., parcel taxes, forest reserves)?

Yes

- 1b. If Yes, identify any of these revenues that are dedicated for ongoing expenses and explain how the revenues will be replaced or expenditures reduced:

The district receives Forest Reserve funds of less than \$20,000 per year. The district has not budgeted ongoing expenditures with this funding.

S5. Contributions

Identify projected contributions from unrestricted resources in the general fund to restricted resources in the general fund for the budget year and two subsequent fiscal years. Provide an explanation if contributions have changed from the prior fiscal year amounts by more than \$20,000 and more than ten percent. Explanation should include whether contributions are ongoing or one-time in nature.

Identify projected transfers to or from the general fund to cover operating deficits in either the general fund or any other fund for the budget year and two subsequent fiscal years. Provide an explanation if transfers have changed from the prior fiscal year amounts by more than \$20,000 and more than ten percent. Explanation should include whether transfers are ongoing or one-time in nature.

Estimate the impact of any capital projects on the general fund operational budget.

District's Contributions and Transfers Standard:

-10.0% to +10.0%
or -\$20,000 to +\$20,000

S5A. Identification of the District's Projected Contributions, Transfers, and Capital Projects that may Impact the General Fund

DATA ENTRY: For Contributions, enter data in the Projection column for the 1st and 2nd Subsequent Years. Contributions for the First Prior Year and Budget Year will be extracted. For Transfers In and Transfers Out, enter data in the First Prior Year. If Form MYP exists, the data will be extracted for the Budget Year, and 1st and 2nd Subsequent Years. If Form MYP does not exist, enter data in the Budget Year, 1st and 2nd subsequent Years. Click the appropriate button for item 1d; all other data will be calculated.

Description / Fiscal Year	Projection	Amount of Change	Percent Change	Status
1a. Contributions, Unrestricted General Fund (Fund 01, Resources 0000-1999, Object 8980)				
First Prior Year (2014-15)	(1,842,909.42)			
Budget Year (2015-16)	(2,031,915.50)	189,006.08	10.3%	Not Met
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	(2,007,929.09)	(23,986.41)	-1.2%	Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	(2,097,114.36)	89,185.27	4.4%	Met
1b. Transfers In, General Fund *				
First Prior Year (2014-15)	0.00			
Budget Year (2015-16)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	Met
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	Met
1c. Transfers Out, General Fund *				
First Prior Year (2014-15)	0.00			
Budget Year (2015-16)	0.00	0.00	0.0%	Met
1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	422,715.54	422,715.54	New	Not Met
2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)	435,551.06	12,835.52	3.0%	Met

1d. Impact of Capital Projects

Do you have any capital projects that may impact the general fund operational budget?

No

* Include transfers used to cover operating deficits in either the general fund or any other fund.

S5B. Status of the District's Projected Contributions, Transfers, and Capital Projects

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if Not Met for items 1a-1c or if Yes for item 1d.

- 1a. NOT MET - The projected contributions from the unrestricted general fund to restricted general fund programs have changed by more than the standard for one or more of the budget or subsequent two fiscal years. Identify restricted programs and amount of contribution for each program and whether contributions are ongoing or one-time in nature. Explain the district's plan, with timeframes, for reducing or eliminating the contribution.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

Contributions to the Routine Maintenance Account (RMA) RS 8150 has increased due to the reinstatement of 3% to RMA. The state made this expenditure flexible in the recession but has sunset as of June 30, 2014.

- 1b. MET - Projected transfers in have not changed by more than the standard for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

The district has chosen to start replenishing/funding the deferred maintenance account Fund 14 and technology fund Fund 17 for the future needs of the district.

- 1c. NOT MET - The projected transfers out of the general fund have changed by more than the standard for one or more of the budget or subsequent two fiscal years. Identify the amount(s) transferred, by fund, and whether transfers are ongoing or one-time in nature. If ongoing, explain the district's plan, with timeframes, for reducing or eliminating the transfers.

Explanation:
(required if NOT met)

The dsitric wll begin to replenish/fund the deferred maintenance account Fund 14 and technology Fund 17 for ongoing and future needs of the district. 1% of the revenues to each fund until the board decides the limit.

- 1d. NO - There are no capital projects that may impact the general fund operational budget.

Project Information:
(required if YES)

S6B. Comparison of the District's Annual Payments to Prior Year Annual Payment

DATA ENTRY: Enter an explanation if Yes.

- 1a. No - Annual payments for long-term commitments have not increased in one or more of the budget and two subsequent fiscal years.

Explanation:
(required if Yes
to increase in total
annual payments)

--

S6C. Identification of Decreases to Funding Sources Used to Pay Long-term Commitments

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button in item 1; if Yes, an explanation is required in item 2.

1. Will funding sources used to pay long-term commitments decrease or expire prior to the end of the commitment period, or are they one-time sources?

No

2. No - Funding sources will not decrease or expire prior to the end of the commitment period, and one-time funds are not being used for long-term commitment annual payments.

Explanation:
(required if Yes)

--

S7. Unfunded Liabilities

Estimate the unfunded liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB) based on an actuarial valuation, if required, or other method; identify or estimate the annual required contribution; and indicate how the obligation is funded (pay-as-you-go, amortized over a specific period, etc.).

Estimate the unfunded liability for self-insurance programs such as workers' compensation based on an actuarial valuation, if required, or other method; identify or estimate the required contribution; and indicate how the obligation is funded (level of risk retained, funding approach, etc.).

S7A. Identification of the District's Estimated Unfunded Liability for Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions (OPEB)

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate button in item 1 and enter data in all other applicable items; there are no extractions in this section except the budget year data on line 5b.

1. Does your district provide postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB)? (If No, skip items 2-5)

Yes

2. For the district's OPEB:
a. Are they lifetime benefits?

No

- b. Do benefits continue past age 65?

No

- c. Describe any other characteristics of the district's OPEB program including eligibility criteria and amounts, if any, that retirees are required to contribute toward their own benefits:

The district is waiting on an updated actuarial from Demsey Filiger and will update when received. Retirees are required to pay the same rate as active employees. Employees and their spouses have the option to take health insurance until 65. Prior to July 1, 2015 employees must be at least 55 with 10 years of service to qualify. Beginning July 1, 2015 new employees must reach 60 with 10 years of experience to become eligible.

3. a. Are OPEB financed on a pay-as-you-go, actuarial cost, or other method?

Pay-as-you-go

- b. Indicate any accumulated amounts earmarked for OPEB in a self-insurance or governmental fund

Self-Insurance Fund

Governmental Fund

0

0

4. OPEB Liabilities

- a. OPEB actuarial accrued liability (AAL)
b. OPEB unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)
c. Are AAL and UAAL based on the district's estimate or an actuarial valuation?

4,982,154.00

4,982,154.00

Actuarial

Jul 01, 2010

- d. If based on an actuarial valuation, indicate the date of the OPEB valuation

5. OPEB Contributions

- a. OPEB annual required contribution (ARC) per actuarial valuation or Alternative Measurement Method
b. OPEB amount contributed (for this purpose, include premiums paid to a self-insurance fund) (funds 01-70, objects 3701-3752)
c. Cost of OPEB benefits (equivalent of "pay-as-you-go" amount)
d. Number of retirees receiving OPEB benefits

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
639,106.00	639,106.00	639,106.00
395,265.10	325,799.53	243,794.97
355,494.16	325,799.53	243,794.97
25	24	11

S7B. Identification of the District's Unfunded Liability for Self-Insurance Programs

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate button in item 1 and enter data in all other applicable items; there are no extractions in this section.

1. Does your district operate any self-insurance programs such as workers' compensation, employee health and welfare, or property and liability? (Do not include OPEB, which is covered in Section S7A) (If No, skip items 2-4)

Yes

2. Describe each self-insurance program operated by the district, including details for each such as level of risk retained, funding approach, basis for valuation (district's estimate or actuarial), and date of the valuation:

Workers compensation: the district is a member of the North Valley Insurance Group a JPA through Keenan and Associates. Rates are set by the JPA experience, the district does not assume individual risk. The district is self-insurance for Health Insurance

3. Self-Insurance Liabilities

- a. Accrued liability for self-insurance programs
b. Unfunded liability for self-insurance programs

0.00

0.00

4. Self-Insurance Contributions

- a. Required contribution (funding) for self-insurance programs
b. Amount contributed (funded) for self-insurance programs

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00

S8. Status of Labor Agreements

Analyze the status of employee labor agreements. Identify new labor agreements, as well as new commitments provided as part of previously ratified multiyear agreements; and include all contracts, including all administrator contracts (and including all compensation). For new agreements, indicate the date of the required board meeting. Compare the increase in new commitments to the projected increase in ongoing revenues, and explain how these commitments will be funded in future fiscal years.

If salary and benefit negotiations are not finalized at budget adoption, upon settlement with certificated or classified staff:

The school district must determine the cost of the settlement, including salaries, benefits, and any other agreements that change costs, and provide the county office of education (COE) with an analysis of the cost of the settlement and its impact on the operating budget.

The county superintendent shall review the analysis relative to the criteria and standards, and may provide written comments to the president of the district governing board and superintendent.

S8A. Cost Analysis of District's Labor Agreements - Certificated (Non-management) Employees

DATA ENTRY: Enter all applicable data items; there are no extractions in this section.

	Prior Year (2nd Interim) (2014-15)	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Number of certificated (non-management) full-time-equivalent (FTE) positions	108.0	118.0	118.0	118.0

Certificated (Non-management) Salary and Benefit Negotiations

1. Are salary and benefit negotiations settled for the budget year?

Yes

If Yes, and the corresponding public disclosure documents have been filed with the COE, complete questions 2 and 3.

If Yes, and the corresponding public disclosure documents have not been filed with the COE, complete questions 2-5.

If No, identify the unsettled negotiations including any prior year unsettled negotiations and then complete questions 6 and 7.

Negotiations Settled

- 2a. Per Government Code Section 3547.5(a), date of public disclosure board meeting:

Jun 29, 2015

- 2b. Per Government Code Section 3547.5(b), was the agreement certified by the district superintendent and chief business official?

Yes

If Yes, date of Superintendent and CBO certification:

Jun 22, 2015

3. Per Government Code Section 3547.5(c), was a budget revision adopted to meet the costs of the agreement?

Yes

If Yes, date of budget revision board adoption:

Jun 29, 2015

4. Period covered by the agreement:

Begin Date: Jul 01, 2015

End Date: Jun 30, 2016

5. Salary settlement:

Is the cost of salary settlement included in the budget and multiyear projections (MYPs)?

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes

One Year Agreement

Total cost of salary settlement

345,880	361,978	369,218
---------	---------	---------

% change in salary schedule from prior year
or

4.5%

Multiyear Agreement

Total cost of salary settlement

% change in salary schedule from prior year
(may enter text, such as "Reopener")

Identify the source of funding that will be used to support multiyear salary commitments:

Negotiations Not Settled

6. Cost of a one percent increase in salary and statutory benefits

--

7. Amount included for any tentative salary schedule increases

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)

Certificated (Non-management) Health and Welfare (H&W) Benefits

- Are costs of H&W benefit changes included in the budget and MYPs?
- Total cost of H&W benefits
- Percent of H&W cost paid by employer
- Percent projected change in H&W cost over prior year

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
2,008,124	2,108,530	2,192,871
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
5.0%	5.0%	4.0%

Certificated (Non-management) Prior Year Settlements

Are any new costs from prior year settlements included in the budget?
If Yes, amount of new costs included in the budget and MYPs
If Yes, explain the nature of the new costs:

No		

--

Certificated (Non-management) Step and Column Adjustments

- Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs?
- Cost of step & column adjustments
- Percent change in step & column over prior year

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
Actual	160,642	163,855
2.0%	2.0%	2.0%

Certificated (Non-management) Attrition (layoffs and retirements)

- Are savings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs?
- Are additional H&W benefits for those laid-off or retired employees included in the budget and MYPs?

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes

Certificated (Non-management) - Other

List other significant contract changes and the cost impact of each change (i.e., class size, hours of employment, leave of absence, bonuses, etc.):

One additional day has been added to the certificated staff . Work calendar was 183 now 184 and is reflected on the salary schedule and budget
Coaching and extra duty pay has also been increase and is reflected in the budgeted.

S8B. Cost Analysis of District's Labor Agreements - Classified (Non-management) Employees

DATA ENTRY: Enter all applicable data items; there are no extractions in this section.

	Prior Year (2nd Interim) (2014-15)	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Number of classified (non-management) FTE positions	63.3	67.7	67.7	67.7

Classified (Non-management) Salary and Benefit Negotiations

1. Are salary and benefit negotiations settled for the budget year?

If Yes, and the corresponding public disclosure documents
have been filed with the COE, complete questions 2 and 3.

Yes

If Yes, and the corresponding public disclosure documents
have not been filed with the COE, complete questions 2-5.

If No, identify the unsettled negotiations including any prior year unsettled negotiations and then complete questions 6 and 7.

Negotiations Settled

- 2a. Per Government Code Section 3547.5(a), date of public disclosure
board meeting:

Jun 29, 2015

- 2b. Per Government Code Section 3547.5(b), was the agreement certified
by the district superintendent and chief business official?

Yes

If Yes, date of Superintendent and CBO certification:

Jun 22, 2015

3. Per Government Code Section 3547.5(c), was a budget revision adopted
to meet the costs of the agreement?

Yes

If Yes, date of budget revision board adoption:

Jun 29, 2015

4. Period covered by the agreement:

Begin Date: Jul 01, 2015

End Date: Jun 30, 2018

5. Salary settlement:

Is the cost of salary settlement included in the budget and multiyear
projections (MYPs)?

Budget Year
(2015-16)

1st Subsequent Year
(2016-17)

2nd Subsequent Year
(2017-18)

Yes

Yes

Yes

One Year Agreement

Total cost of salary settlement

118,671

121,044

121,044

% change in salary schedule from prior year
or

4.5%

Multiyear Agreement

Total cost of salary settlement

% change in salary schedule from prior year
(may enter text, such as "Reopener")

Identify the source of funding that will be used to support multiyear salary commitments:

Negotiations Not Settled

6. Cost of a one percent increase in salary and statutory benefits

Budget Year
(2015-16)

1st Subsequent Year
(2016-17)

2nd Subsequent Year
(2017-18)

7. Amount included for any tentative salary schedule increases

Classified (Non-management) Health and Welfare (H&W) Benefits

1. Are costs of H&W benefit changes included in the budget and MYPs?
2. Total cost of H&W benefits
3. Percent of H&W cost paid by employer
4. Percent projected change in H&W cost over prior year

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
979,386	1,028,355	1,069,489
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
5.0%	5.0%	4.0%

Classified (Non-management) Prior Year Settlements

- Are any new costs from prior year settlements included in the budget?
If Yes, amount of new costs included in the budget and MYPs
If Yes, explain the nature of the new costs:

No		
----	--	--

The classified (Non-Management) schedule steps 8-34 have been changed to every 2 years instead of every 3 years. This change is reflected in the budget.

Classified (Non-management) Step and Column Adjustments

1. Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs?
2. Cost of step & column adjustments
3. Percent change in step & column over prior year

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
Actual	44,068	44,884
2.0%	2.0%	2.0%

Classified (Non-management) Attrition (layoffs and retirements)

1. Are savings from attrition included in the budget and MYPs?
2. Are additional H&W benefits for those laid-off or retired employees included in the budget and MYPs?

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes

Classified (Non-management) - Other

List other significant contract changes and the cost impact of each change (i.e., hours of employment, leave of absence, bonuses, etc.):

S8C. Cost Analysis of District's Labor Agreements - Management/Supervisor/Confidential Employees

DATA ENTRY: Enter all applicable data items; there are no extractions in this section.

	Prior Year (2nd Interim) (2014-15)	Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Number of management, supervisor, and confidential FTE positions	16.0	19.0	19.0	19.0

**Management/Supervisor/Confidential
Salary and Benefit Negotiations**

1. Are salary and benefit negotiations settled for the budget year?

Yes

If Yes, complete question 2.

If No, identify the unsettled negotiations including any prior year unsettled negotiations and then complete questions 3 and 4.

If n/a, skip the remainder of Section S8C.

Negotiations Settled

2. Salary settlement:

Is the cost of salary settlement included in the budget and multiyear projections (MYPs)?

Total cost of salary settlement

% change in salary schedule from prior year
(may enter text, such as "Reopener")

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
87,686	89,440	91,229

Negotiations Not Settled

3. Cost of a one percent increase in salary and statutory benefits

4. Amount included for any tentative salary schedule increases

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)

**Management/Supervisor/Confidential
Health and Welfare (H&W) Benefits**

- Are costs of H&W benefit changes included in the budget and MYPs?
- Total cost of H&W benefits
- Percent of H&W cost paid by employer
- Percent projected change in H&W cost over prior year

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
323,342	339,509	353,089
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
5.0%	5.0%	4.0%

**Management/Supervisor/Confidential
Step and Column Adjustments**

- Are step & column adjustments included in the budget and MYPs?
- Cost of step and column adjustments
- Percent change in step & column over prior year

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
Actual	35,671	36,420
2.0%	2.0%	2.0%

**Management/Supervisor/Confidential
Other Benefits (mileage, bonuses, etc.)**

- Are costs of other benefits included in the budget and MYPs?
- Total cost of other benefits
- Percent change in cost of other benefits over prior year

Budget Year (2015-16)	1st Subsequent Year (2016-17)	2nd Subsequent Year (2017-18)
Yes	Yes	Yes
0	0	0
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

S9. Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP)

Confirm that the school district's governing board has adopted an LCAP or an update to the LCAP effective for the budget year.

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button in item 1, and enter the date in item 2.

1. Did or will the school district's governing board adopt an LCAP or approve an update to the LCAP effective for the budget year?

Yes

2. Approval date for adoption of the LCAP or approval of an update to the LCAP.

Jun 18, 2015

S10. LCAP Expenditures

Confirm that the school district's budget includes the expenditures necessary to implement the LCAP or annual update to the LCAP.

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button.

Does the school district's budget include the expenditures necessary to implement the LCAP or annual update to the LCAP as described in the Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template, Section 3: Actions, Services and Expenditures?

Yes

ADDITIONAL FISCAL INDICATORS

The following fiscal indicators are designed to provide additional data for reviewing agencies. A "Yes" answer to any single indicator does not necessarily suggest a cause for concern, but may alert the reviewing agency to the need for additional review.

DATA ENTRY: Click the appropriate Yes or No button for items A1 through A9 except item A3, which is automatically completed based on data in Criterion 2.

- A1. Do cash flow projections show that the district will end the budget year with a negative cash balance in the general fund?

Yes

- A2. Is the system of personnel position control independent from the payroll system?

No

- A3. Is enrollment decreasing in both the prior fiscal year and budget year? (Data from the enrollment budget column and actual column of Criterion 2A are used to determine Yes or No)

No

- A4. Are new charter schools operating in district boundaries that impact the district's enrollment, either in the prior fiscal year or budget year?

No

- A5. Has the district entered into a bargaining agreement where any of the budget or subsequent years of the agreement would result in salary increases that are expected to exceed the projected state funded cost-of-living adjustment?

Yes

- A6. Does the district provide uncapped (100% employer paid) health benefits for current or retired employees?

No

- A7. Is the district's financial system independent of the county office system?

No

- A8. Does the district have any reports that indicate fiscal distress pursuant to Education Code Section 42127.6(a)? (If Yes, provide copies to the county office of education)

No

- A9. Have there been personnel changes in the superintendent or chief business official positions within the last 12 months?

Yes

When providing comments for additional fiscal indicators, please include the item number applicable to each comment.

Comments:
(optional)

The district has made great strides in it's financial outlook. There is ongoing planning and efforts to keep the district fiscally sustainable.

End of School District Budget Criteria and Standards Review